

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,631	06/12/2001	Wenting Tang	HP-10010812	5913
7590 04/06/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			TODD, GREGORY G	
Intellectual Proj	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 27240	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2157	<u>-</u>

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>			
	09/880,631	TANG ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	 			
	Gregory G. Todd	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this commun			ddress			
Period for Reply			· d			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS CON s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SI y will, by statute, cause the application to to	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>05 January 2006</u> .	• •				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is non-final	j.				
, —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		tion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			*			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			;			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requireme	nt.	•			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b) obje	cted to by the Examiner.	:			
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the a	attached Office Action or form F	'TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	- 1			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been receive	ved.				
2. Certified copies of the priority						
	·	ve been received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
application from the Internation		· ·	-			
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified cop	nes not received.				
Attachment(s)	_	•				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:	ГО-152)			

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This is a third office action in response to applicant's appeal brief filed, 05 January 2006, of application filed, with the above serial number, on 12 June 2001 in which no claims have been amended. Claims 1-37 are therefore pending in the application.

Examiner thanks Applicant for the diligent effort presented in the Appeal Brief.

However, upon further review of the claims, Examiner takes this opportunity to require restriction of the claims.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a method of TCP state migration, classified in class
 709, subclass 227.
 - II. Claims 11-25, drawn to a method of TCP state migration with increased handling and optimization, classified in class 709, subclass 235.
 - III. Claims 26-37, drawn to a server computer architecture for centralized data routing, classified in class 709, subclass 244.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

Art Unit: 2157

(2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed and the subcombination has separate utility such as at least:

Invention II has no mention of kernel levels and thus, a TCP handoff protocol that works within kernel levels of an existing TCP/IP protocol. Inventions I and II do not require the second operating system at the selected server computer. Invention III does not require the cluster of servers to be a web cluster. The monitoring steps of Invention II require further analysis such as "understanding" of the TCP state. Inventions I and III have no mention of the other server computers having UTCP modules. Invention III does not store the web request at the server computer. Inventions I and II do not suggest sending the responses from the selected server *directly* to the client with the first IP address being reflected.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2157

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **0 months** and **30 days** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

8. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 05 January 2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory G. Todd whose telephone number is (571)272-4011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am-6:00pm w/ first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory Todd

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

PRIMARY EXAMINER